

Remarks

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejection of the claims in view of the above amendments and the remarks set forth below. Claims 1-20 remain in the application. Claims 1-20 were previously presented.

35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Griffiths (US 6,262,776 B1) in view of Sackstein et al. (US 6,744,815 B1). Under U.S.C. § 103, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all of the claim limitations (MPEP § 706.02(j)). Claim 1 recites, inter alia, a “system that maintains synchronization between a video signal and an audio signal that are processed using audio and video clocks that are locked, the system comprising...a component that determines an initial audio input buffer level...a component that determines an amount of drift in the initial audio input buffer level and adjusts the locked audio and video clocks to maintain the initial audio input buffer level if the amount of drift reaches a first predetermined threshold...and a component that measures a displacement of a video signal associated with the audio signal in response to the adjusting of the locked audio and video clocks and operates to negate the measured displacement of the video signal if the measured displacement reaches a second predetermined threshold.” The claimed “locked audio and video clocks” and “adjusts the locked audio and video clocks” elements of claim 1 are an important aspect of Applicants’ invention. As discussed in the BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION (page 1, ln. 20 – Page 2, ln. 2):

Some audio/video receiver modules, which may be incorporated into display devices such as televisions, have been designed with an audio output digital to analog (D/A) clock that is locked to a video output D/A clock. This means that the audio clock and video clock cannot be controlled separately. A single control system may variably change the rate of both clocks by an equal percentage. In some of these systems, a clock recovery system may match the video (D/A) clock to the video source analog to digital (A/D) clock. The audio output D/A clock may then be assumed to match to the audio source A/D clock. This assumption is based upon the fact that broadcasters are supposed

to similarly lock their audio and video clocks when the source audio and video is generated.

Although the Advanced Television Systems Committee (ATSC) specification requires broadcasters to lock their video source A/D clock to their audio source A/D clock, there have been instances where these clocks were not locked. Failure of broadcasters to lock the clock of transmitted audio source material with the clock of transmitted video source material may result in a time delay between when the audio presentation should be occurring and when the audio is actually presented. This error, which may be referred to as lip synchronization or lip sync error, may cause the sound presented by the audio/video display device to not match the picture as it is displayed. This effect is annoying to many viewers.

Furthermore, as discussed in DETAILED DESCRIPTION (page 8, lns. 25-35):

At block 204, the initial audio input buffer level is determined. Over time, the amount of drift of the initial audio input buffer level is determined, as shown at block 206. If the drift exceeds a first predetermined threshold (208), then the locked clocks of the video D/A converter 32 (FIG. 1) and the audio D/A converter 34 are adjusted in the direction that maintains the initial audio input buffer level.

In response to the adjustment of the clocks, the displacement of the video signal is measured, as shown at block 212. If the displacement of the video signal exceeds a second predetermined threshold (214), then the measured displacement of the video signal is negated (block 216) by, for example, restarting the process or dropping a video frame to improve synchronization. At block 218, the process ends.

In other words, the claimed invention, as set forth in claim 1, is directed towards a system that maintains synchronization between a video signal and an audio signal that are processed using locked audio and video clocks. If a predetermined amount of drift between audio and video is detected (by observing a change in the initial audio input buffer level), the locked audio and video clocks are adjusted to maintain the initial audio input buffer level. If the video signal is displaced too much (i.e., exceeds a second predetermined threshold) due to the adjustment of the locked audio and video clocks, the displacement of the video signal is negated by, for example, restarting the synchronization process or dropping a video frame from the video signal.

Griffits appears to disclose a system and method for maintaining synchronization between audio and video by playing video frames early, dropping video frames or delaying the playing of video frames. (See, e.g., col. 12, lns. 28-40 and 56-64; col. 15, lns 39-42; col. 16, lns. 38-45) In other words, Griffits appears to only disclose adjusting the display of video data and not adjusting locked audio and video clocks in order to maintain synchronization between audio and video data. Indeed, as acknowledged in the Office Action Griffits does not disclose the use of locked audio or video clocks.

Sackstein et al. appears to disclose a method for synchronizing and encoding audio and video streams. The Office Action proposes that Sackstein et al. teaches the use of locked audio and video clocks. Applicants respectfully disagree. Sackstein et al. specifically states that the “video clock 122 need not be locked to the audio clock 112.” (Col. 8, lns. 20-21). If the audio and video clocks 112 and 122 happen to be in synch or “locked”, then audio frames and video frames removed from buffers 118 and 128 will have approximately the same decoding time stamp. (Col. 9, lns. 4-39). However, if the audio and video clocks 112 and 122 are not synchronized or locked (i.e., the video clock is running faster or slower than the audio clock), the encoding system 100 detects the loss of synchronization and operates to increase or decrease the number of audio samples provided to buffer 118. (Col. 9, ln. 40 – col. 10, ln. 5). More specifically, Sackstein et al. appears to teach an audio compressor 116, positioned after the audio clock 112, that decreases (e.g., drops) audio samples or increases (e.g., repeats) audio samples in a buffer 115 when there is a loss of synchronization between the audio and video clocks 112 and 122. (Col. 8, lns. 30-60). As a result, Sackstein et al., like Griffits, does not appear to teach the claimed “locked audio and video clocks” and “adjusts the locked audio and video clocks” elements of claim 1.

Applicants are unsure what the combination of Griffits’ system and Sackstein’s unlocked video and audio encoding clocks and Sackstein’s audio compression process would result in, however, Applicants’ respectfully propose that such a combination would fail to teach or suggest the “system that maintains synchronization between a video signal and an audio

signal that are processed using audio and video clocks that are locked, the system comprising...a component that determines an initial audio input buffer level...a component that determines an amount of drift in the initial audio input buffer level and adjusts the locked audio and video clocks to maintain the initial audio input buffer level if the amount of drift reaches a first predetermined threshold...and a component that measures a displacement of a video signal associated with the audio signal in response to the adjusting of the locked audio and video clocks and operates to negate the measured displacement of the video signal if the measured displacement reaches a second predetermined threshold” limitations of claim 1. Therefore, it is respectfully proposed that the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is overcome in accordance with the above amendment and remarks and notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Dependent claims 2-6 being dependent on and further limiting independent claim 1, should be allowable for that reason, as well as for the additional recitations that they contain. Applicants respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection of the claims in view of the above remarks.

Independent claim 11 contains elements similar to independent claim 1 and should be allowable for the reasons discussed above. Therefore, it is respectfully proposed that the rejection for obviousness is overcome.

Dependent claims 12-14 being dependent on and further limiting independent claim 11, should be allowable for that reason, as well as for the additional recitations that they contain. Applicants respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection of the claims in view of the above remarks.


Independent claim 15 contains elements similar to amended independent claim 1 and should be allowable for the reasons discussed above. Therefore, it is respectfully proposed that the rejection for obviousness is overcome.

Dependent claims 16-20 being dependent on and further limiting independent claim 15, should be allowable for that reason, as well as for the additional recitations that they contain. Applicants respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection of the claims in view of the above remarks.

Having fully addressed the Examiner's rejections it is believed that, in view of the preceding remarks, this application stands in condition for allowance. Accordingly then, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully solicited. If, however, the Examiner is of the opinion that such action cannot be taken, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' attorney at (818) 260-3727, so that a mutually convenient date and time for a telephonic interview may be scheduled.

No fees, other than those discussed above, are believed due. However, if a fee is due, please charge the additional fee to Deposit Account 07-0832.

Respectfully submitted,



By: Vincent E. Duffy

Reg. No. 39,964


Phone (818) 260-3727

Patent Operations
THOMSON Licensing LLC
P.O. Box 5312
Princeton, New Jersey 08543-5312
December 26, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this amendment is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

12/26/07
date


Vincent E. Duffy



Report to Data Base

Docket No. **P060442**

Inventor(s): **Phillip Aaron Twestersfeld et al.**

Title: **A Method and System For Maintaining Lip Synchronizer**

PATENT OPERATIONS

Serial No. **10/531,695** Filed: **4/18/05**

Atty: **Vincent E. Duffy**

MAILING TO U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION AS FILED

Enter Date	Enter Number	Check Type	Check Items Mailed with Application
	Independent Claims	Original-US Nat'l	Declaration
	Claims in Excess of 20	Divisional	Statement under CFR § 1.56-013M
	Claim Pages	Continuation	Assignment & Recordation Sheet
	Specification Pgs	CPA/RCE	Preliminary Amendment
	Sheets of Drawings	Reissue	Priority Document -
	Abstract Pages	Re-Exam	IDS 1449 with References
		US Provisional	Utility Application Transmittal
	Charge		Fee Transmittal Sheet in duplicate
	Mailed Due	Mailed Due	APPEALS
	AMENDMENTS		NOTES OF APPEALS
	After Rejection		Appeal Brief
	After Final Rejection		Reply Brief
	After Allowance U/R312		Pet. To Withdraw
	Supplemental		REQUESTS
	Voluntary		Ext. Time§1.136(b)
	Letter to Exam/Drafts person w/Drawing Correction(s)		Cert. of Correction
	Pg(s). of Formal Dwg(s)		Charge
	OTHER		OTHER
	Lic. To For. File		Statement NASA
	Reg. Priority 35USC119		Terminal Disclaimer
	Statement DOE		Claim Disclaimer
	Statement under §1.56		Status Letter
	IDS w/ references		Declaration
	Certificate of Mailing		Suppl. Declaration

Express Mail Application
Label No.:
Date Deposited: 12/26/07
FEEs
Filing Fee Exp.
Issue Fee
Ext Time§ 1.136(e)
Add. Payment of Fee
Fee Trans. Form in dupl.
TOTAL FEE AMT. \$1050.00
OTHER
Appointment Atty/Agent
Assignment & Record form
Letter to PO
Notif. of Foreign Ref.
Correction Of Record